

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,710	02/25/2002	Robert W. Allington	18-587-9-1	5831
23898	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
VINCENT L. CARNEY LAW OFFICE			ROGERS, DAVID A	
P.O. BOX 80836 LINCOLN, NE 68501-0836			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Direction, 1	115 00501 0050		2856	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			9h. ~
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/082,710	ALLINGTON ET AL.	
The state of the s	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Rogers	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application and the same of the s	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	-		
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moves are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	• •	
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: 3-8, 10-12, and 28-30.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 9.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. \square The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of Item 7: The applicant's amendment placed all previously objected to claims in independent format. Thus, these amended claims would be allowable. Claims 1, 2, and 9 still stand rejected.

Algren 4. Williams

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800